

**EXAMPLE 6-12**

A steel bar undergoes cyclic loading such that  $\sigma_{\max} = 420$  MPa and  $\sigma_{\min} = -140$  MPa. For the material,  $S_{ut} = 560$  MPa,  $S_y = 455$  MPa, a fully corrected endurance limit of  $S_e = 280$  MPa, and  $f = 0.9$ . Estimate the number of cycles to a fatigue failure using:

- (a) ~~Goodman~~ Goodman criterion.  
 (b) Gerber criterion.

**Solution** From the given stresses,

$$\sigma_a = \frac{420 - (-140)}{2} = 280 \text{ MPa} \quad \sigma_m = \frac{420 + (-140)}{2} = 140 \text{ MPa}$$

From the material properties, Eqs. (6-14) to (6-16), p. 277, give

$$a = \frac{(f S_{ut})^2}{S_e} = \frac{[0.9(560)]^2}{280} = 907 \text{ MPa}$$

$$b = -\frac{1}{3} \log \left( \frac{f S_{ut}}{S_e} \right) = -\frac{1}{3} \log \left[ \frac{0.9(560)}{280} \right] = -0.0851$$

$$N = \left( \frac{S_f}{a} \right)^{1/b} = \left( \frac{S_f}{907} \right)^{-1/0.0851} \quad (1)$$

where  $S_f$  replaced  $\sigma_a$  in Eq. (6-16).

(a) The modified Goodman line is given by Eq. (6-45), p. 298, where the endurance limit  $S_e$  is used for infinite life. For finite life at  $S_f > S_e$ , replace  $S_e$  with  $S_f$  in Eq. (6-45) and rearrange giving

$$\frac{\sigma_a}{S_e} + \frac{\sigma_m}{S_{ut}} = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$S_f = \frac{\sigma_a}{1 - \frac{\sigma_m}{S_{ut}}} = \frac{280}{1 - \frac{140}{560}} = 373 \text{ MPa}$$

Substituting this into Eq. (1) yields

Answer  $N = \left( \frac{373}{907} \right)^{-1/0.0851} \doteq 3.4(10^4) \text{ cycles}$

(b) For Gerber, similar to part (a), from Eq. (6-46),

$$\frac{n \sigma_a}{S_e} + \left( \frac{n \sigma_m}{S_{ut}} \right)^2 = 1$$

$$S_f = \frac{\sigma_a}{1 - \left( \frac{\sigma_m}{S_{ut}} \right)^2} = \frac{280}{1 - \left( \frac{140}{280} \right)^2} = 299 \text{ MPa}$$

Again, from Eq. (1),

Answer  $N = \left( \frac{299}{907} \right)^{-1/0.0851} \doteq 4.6(10^5) \text{ cycles}$

Comparing the answers, we see a large difference in the results. Again, the modified Goodman criterion is conservative as compared to Gerber for which the moderate difference in  $S_f$  is then magnified by a logarithmic  $S, N$  relationship.